

Job Satisfaction in Indian IT Sector-A Demographic Analysis

S. Swapna¹

¹Lecturer in Commerce, TSWRDC (W), Mancherial.

Abstract

Human resources play a vital role in organisational effectiveness. No matter how sophisticated and modern the business activities of an organisation become, it will be extremely difficult to sustain its growth and effectiveness unless human resources are complementary to its operations. This is all the more important in a service industry like banking, where a continuous improvement in service is a must to meet the rising expectations of the customer. The factors generating job satisfaction have broader implications for the employers, the social scientists, the policy makers and the employees. Job satisfaction results from the exchange between the demands and expectations of an organisation, and the workers' expectations and personal objectives. Job satisfaction is the amount of overall positive effect or feeling that individuals have towards their jobs. In this paper an attempt is made to study the impact of demographic factor on Job Satisfaction.

Introduction

Job satisfaction is a general attitude which is the result of many specific attitudes in three areas, namely, specific job factors, individual characteristics and group relationship outside the job. It is a pleasurable emotional state arising from the appraisal of one's job or job experience, job satisfaction or dissatisfaction is a function of the perceived relationship between what one wants from one's job and what one perceives it as offering or entering. Job satisfaction is a specific subset of attitudes held by organisational members about their jobs. It is viewed as a positive attitude towards one's work, which is global in nature, and which results from many job related experiences. In this chapter, an attempt is made to discuss the concepts of job satisfaction, evaluation and need for job satisfaction, theories and factors relating to job satisfaction and importance of job satisfaction. Job satisfaction is the amount of the overall positive effect (or feelings) that individuals have towards their jobs. It is the amount of pleasure or contentment associated with a job. If you like the job intensely, you will experience high job satisfaction; if you dislike your job intensely, you will experience job dissatisfaction. Job satisfaction is a set favourable or unfavourable feeling with which employees view their work.

The Corporate International Vol. 5, Issue 1 – 2021 ISSN: 2581-6438

Objective of the Study

To analyse the relationship between the level of job satisfaction and the socio economic background of the employees of IT companies.

Table 1.Mean difference between Employee's gender with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t-value
Process of	Male	97	3.67	.49	.781
Recruitment	Female	103	3.73	.57	p =.436
Process of	Male	97	3.81	.44	.178
Selection	Female	103	3.80	.51	p =.859
Process of	Male	97	3.79	.41	2.248
Retention	Female	103	3.93	.44	p = .025
Process of	Male	97	3.88	.47	2.194
Training	Female	103	4.04	.51	p =.029

Process of Recruitment

From the Table-1 it is found that the calculated t-value is 0.781which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's gender with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

The data in table-1 show that the calculated t-value is 0.178 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's gender with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

From the Table-1 it is found that the obtained t-value is 2.248 and it is significant at 1 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's gender with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

The data in table-1 show that the obtained t-value is 2.194 and it is significant at 1 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's gender with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

ISSN: 2581-6438

Table 2.Mean difference between Employee's age groups with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Age	N	Mean	S D	F-value
Process of	< 25 years	28	3.89	.49	4.775
Recruitment	25-35 years	126	3.55	.54	p =.009
	Above 35 years	46	3.61	.51	
Process of	< 25 years	28	3.91	.48	.845
Selection	25-35 years	126	3.80	.49	p =.431
	Above 35 years	46	3.76	.45	
Process of	< 25 years	28	3.98	.43	3.073
Retention	25-35 years	126	3.77	.44	p =.049
	Above 35 years	46	3.76	.36	
Process of	< 25 years	28	4.04	.52	.838
Training	25-35 years	126	3.97	.52	p =.434
	Above 35 years	46	3.89	.40	

Process of Recruitment

The data in table-2 shows that the obtained F- value is 4.775 and it is significant at 5 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's age groups with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

From the table-2 it is observed that the obtained F-value of .845 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's age groups with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

The data in table-2 shows that the obtained F- value is 3.073 and it is significant at 5 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's age groups with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

From the table-2 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.838 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's age groups with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

ISSN: 2581-6438

Table 3.Mean difference between Employee's education qualifications with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Education	N	Mean	S D	F-value
Process of	B.C.A / Diploma	35	3.79	.62	.525
Recruitment	B.E / B. Tech	103	3.68	.54	p =.592
	M.E / M.Tech	62	3.70	.46	
Process of	B.C.A / Diploma	35	3.89	.61	.633
Selection	B.E / B. Tech	103	3.78	.47	p =.532
	M.E / M.Tech	62	3.88	.41	
Process of	B.C.A / Diploma	35	3.87	.48	.078
Retention	B.E / B. Tech	103	3.85	.42	p =.925
	M.E / M.Tech	62	3.88	.42	
Process of	B.C.A / Diploma	35	4.11	.56	3.911
Training	B.E / B. Tech	103	3.84	.46	p=.022
	M.E / M.Tech	62	3.92	.51	

Process of Recruitment

The data in table-3 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.525 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's education qualifications with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

From the table-3 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.633 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's education qualifications with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

The data in table-3 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.078 which is not significant at per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's education qualifications with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

From the table-3 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 3.911 and it is significant at 5 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's education qualifications with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

ISSN: 2581-6438

Table 4.Mean difference between Employee's designations with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Designation	N	Mean	SD	F-value
Process of	Software engineer	144	3.72	.53	.548
Recruitment	Team leader	38	3.62	.56	p =.579
	Project leader	18	3.75	.51	
Process of	Software engineer	144	3.81	.47	.097
Selection	Team leader	38	3.78	.56	p =.907
	Project leader	18	3.82	.33	
Process of	Software engineer	144	3.89	.45	3.437
Retention	Team leader	38	3.80	.41	p =.034
	Project leader	18	3.60	.21	
Process of	Software engineer	144	3.98	.58	.213
Training	Team leader	38	3.92	.52	p =.808
	Project leader	18	3.96	.34	

Process of Recruitment

The data in table-4 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.548 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's designations with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

From the table-4 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.097 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's designations with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

The data in table-4 shows that the obtained F- value is 3.437 and it is significant at 5 per cent level. The value indicates that there is significant mean difference between employee's designations with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

From the table-4 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.213 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's designations with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

ISSN: 2581-6438

Table 5.Mean difference between Employee's experiences level with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Experience	N	Mean	SD	F-value
Process of	Below 5 years	58	3.70	.54	.218
Recruitment	5 - 10 years	98	3.73	.54	p =.805
	Above 10 years	44	3.66	.52	
Process of	Below 5 years	58	3.80	.53	.372
Selection	5 - 10 years	98	3.84	.47	p =.690
	Above 10 years	44	3.76	.41	
Process of	Below 5 years	58	3.87	.46	.069
Retention	5 - 10 years	98	3.85	.43	p =.933
	Above 10 years	44	3.88	.39	
Process of	Below 5 years	58	3.99	.54	.150
Training	5 - 10 years	98	3.96	.47	p =.861
	Above 10 years	44	3.93	.48	

Process of Recruitment

The data in table-5 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.218 which is not significant at cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's experiences level with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

From the table-5 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.372 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's experiences level with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

The data in table-5 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.069 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's experiences level with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

From the table-5 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.150 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's experiences level with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

ISSN: 2581-6438

Table 6.Mean difference between Employee's income categories with respect to the Satisfaction in associate with the process of Recruitment, Selection, Retention and Training

	Annual salary	N	Mean	SD	F-value
Process of	Rs. 2.5 – 4 lakhs	58	3.73	.58	.125
Recruitment	Rs. 4 – 6 lakhs	85	3.70	.54	p =.883
	Rs. 6 - 8 lakhs	57	3.68	.47	
Process of	Rs. 2.5 – 4 lakhs	58	3.89	.52	1.494
Selection	Rs. 4 – 6 lakhs	85	3.79	.56	p =.227
	Rs. 6 - 8 lakhs	57	3.74	.42	
Process of	Rs. 2.5 – 4 lakhs	58	3.90	.45	.323
Retention	Rs. 4 – 6 lakhs	85	3.84	.44	p =.724
	Rs. 6 - 8 lakhs	57	3.86	.38	
Process of	Rs. 2.5 – 4 lakhs	58	4.02	.54	.523
Training	Rs. 4 – 6 lakhs	85	3.94	.48	p =.594
	Rs. 6 - 8 lakhs	57	3.93	.46	

Process of Recruitment

The data in table-6 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.125 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's income categories with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of recruitment.

Process of Selection

From the table-6 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 1.494 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's income categories with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of selection.

Process of Retention

The data in table-6 shows that the obtained F- value is 0.323 which is not significant at 5 per cent level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's income categories with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of retention.

Process of training

From the table-6 it is observed that the obtained F-value of 0.523 which is not significant at 5% level. It indicates that there is no significant mean difference between the employee's income categories with respect to satisfaction in associate with the process of training.

Conclusion

The companies must conduct a job satisfaction survey at least once a year. Regular meetings



The Corporate International Vol. 5, Issue 1 – 2021 ISSN: 2581-6438

should be convened to solve day-to-day problems. The employee-customer relation is very important in any sector. So if the employees get satisfaction in their job they will have good relations with the customers. If more customers have good relation with employees and the customers come into companies increasingly, more customers will buy which will lead to success of the company.

References

- 1. Luthans, Fred (1998) Organizational Behavior, New York: Irwin Mc Graw Hill Publishing.
- 2. Pareek, Understanding Organizational Behaviour, pp. 209-224.
- 3. Pestonjeee D M (1973), Organizational Structure and Job Attitude, Minerva, Culcutta.
- 4. Robbins S P (2003), Organizational Behaviour, 10th Edition, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India, pp. 582-583.