Ecology of Misinformation on Social Media and Youth as Determinants of Spread of Fake News: Experience from COVID 19 Pandemic in Delhi

Ms. Anisha Thakur[1], Prof. Dr. Rajesh Kumar[2]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Media and Communication Studies, Doon University, Dehradun.
[2]Head of Department, School of Media and Communication Studies, Doon University, Dehradun.

Abstract

Covid-19 has been the talk of the globe since December 2019. The struggle for survival had become the key priority of the day since December 2019, when Covid was first identified in Wuhan, China. It is commonly found and telecasted in media how drastically and tragically Covid-19 affected most developed and developing countries, including India. However, the unmatchable rise of social media has ignited an unparallel circulation of false information in our society. Following a survey by MIT, Boston, US, around 70 percent of fake news related to the Coronavirus already existed on the internet before it even hit India. Youth, the most vulnerable community of our society, has always been a soft target when it comes to spreading fake news on social media, as they represent the largest chunk of internet users in urban and rural India, according to IAMAI (Internet and Mobile Association of India). This paper discusses the systematic dissemination of misleading information and youth being potential determinants of fake news on social media during the times of Covid 19 and triggering mass hysteria in society, particularly in Delhi. This research paper is based on secondary data drawn from research papers, essays, book chapters, and internet resources like video discussions, etc., relevant to my research topic.

Keywords: COVID-19 Pandemic, Social Media, Fake News, Misinformation, Determinants, Ecology, Information Evaluation, Information Sharing, Delhi Youths on Social Media.

Introduction

At this point in time, the proliferation of social media has made it possible for people to share information in a natural and uncomplicated way. In addition, it is rapidly becoming a common method of communication in both private and public settings in Delhi and around the globe in general. Social media has gradually become an integral element of human existence since it enables the development of entertaining and educational information to be distributed remotely,

keeping people informed and engaged. The dissemination of information has been easier and quicker with the advent of social media. As a result, many people now choose to depend primarily on the information that can be found on social media. Sharing information is a function shared by all forms of social media; it's one of the reasons they're so popular. The first incidence of Corona Virus Disease 19 (COVID-19), which was confirmed in Delhi in February 2020, served as an outbreak that a very difficult time would start in the following year. Since then, there has been a discernible increase in the usage of various social media platforms to communicate knowledge about the time. The term "2019 novel coronavirus" (sometimes abbreviated as "2019-nCoV") was once used to refer to COVID-19.

The spread of fake news among the people of Delhi has resulted in the unwarranted creation of panic, anxiety, diversion, and stress in the city, particularly during the COVID-19 epidemic. In the middle of this awful time, news and information are being sent to enlighten people and keep them up to speed on the illness's most current advances. On the other hand, it has been noted that a significant number of people disseminate fake information and news that is both erroneous and unverifiable. When it comes to how people apply or use information, identification, searching, and assessment are all very important steps. Despite this, it has been seen that, in people's haste to acquire knowledge about the pernicious illness, they ignore the necessary factors to determine whether the information they receive is accurate. Ultimately, this information behavior is a defining characteristic that may have had a role in spreading fake news and disinformation.

David (2017) asserts that effective information behavior entails applying cognitive, emotional, and physical skills for people to access and utilize information sources. For this investigation, the age range of those considered to be part of the young bracket will be from 18 to 40 years old. Because of their youthful enthusiasm and familiarity with various ICT devices, youths in Delhi's younger generation are said to be frequent social media users.

Young youths use social media extensively, mostly for interpersonal purposes, according to Ocansey, Ametepe, and Oduro (2016). In the meantime, it has been seen that the quantity of false news and misinformation being disseminated via the media has increased. As a consequence, the dissemination of information through social media during the COVID-19 pandemic illness in Delhi has raised concerns.

According to Nielsen (2017), social media is "computer-mediated technology that facilitates the growth and sharing of ideas, awareness, career interest, information, and other ways of expression through social networks and virtual communities."

Greg et al. (2013) pointed out that social media, by their very nature, have the power to instruct, enlighten, amuse, and enrage the audience. They also can have an infectious and outward-reaching impact. This is something that conventional media find difficult to provide for their audiences. Despite these positive aspects, there is still a noticeable amount of inappropriate social media usage.

The phrase "social media information sharing," according to Ford and Ravansar (2017), refers to web-based platforms that allow people to engage with one another and share information,

opinion, knowledge, and facts. This suggests that exchanging ideas, knowledge, and information about a certain event is a key aspect of information sharing on social media platforms.

In the context of using social media, evaluation of user information behavior is becoming increasingly important. This makes it imperative to examine the social media purpose patterns of young people in Delhi who use them to share information. Social media was designed primarily to ease the transmission of information, hence doing behavior analysis is crucial for such a purpose (Zhang & Kizilcec, 2014).

Prior studies, according to Lee and Ma (2012), revealed that people use social media to share information to get attention and improve their reputation and popularity among peers, especially during times of crisis. Specifically, Lee and Ma (2012) pointed out that this behavior is most prevalent in the time of Facebook and Twitter. However, because many see people's social media, individuals' social demands tend to overshadow any concerns they may have about their privacy (Bernstein et al., 2011). According to findings published by Pew Research Center (2013), one in ten Internet users in the United States stated that publishing information online has strained their relationships.

Haynes (2001) observed that evaluating a person's behavior depending on the circumstance and how that individual's behavior changes require manipulation and assessment. The use of social media platforms for information dissemination is a research purpose that is rapidly expanding. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic illness, people's relationships with one another have suffered an undesirable result. For this reason, there is a pressing need to investigate the degree to which the behavior of information sharing has resulted in the development of new routines or ways of thinking among the people of Delhi.

According to Yates (2020), social media helps bring people all across the globe together. Additionally, it makes information from all around the globe accessible to people. However, the abuse of these platforms for disseminating information will result in more damage. Additionally, if sufficient safeguards are not followed, the drawbacks associated with abusing social media platforms for information sharing might taint the benefits.

It has been observed that the bulk of information shared on social media does not include a source link. At a time, it could be challenging to identify the information sources that are often shared on social media. It has progressed to the point that it is starting to build a reputation during this pandemic catastrophe. It is common for people to express their opinions on the COVID-19 pandemic illness on social media or in online forums. On the other side, disseminating information that is untrue, erroneous, or cannot be independently confirmed is a formula for catastrophe. There is a deluge of COVID-19 messages on social media coming from several sources, all of which have been shown to include errors, false information, and fake news. The general people are terrified by this information, which causes confusion among them.

Literature Review

Social networks capture the activity of many people since they are widely used every day. Particularly in health, social networks provide enough resources for making health-related

choices and documenting behavioral responses and attitudes that impact people's health. The most popular social network is Facebook, followed by Twitter and social networks for health (Kalsnes, 2018).

The World Health Organization's white paper on risk communication exhorts the scientific community to improve its capacity to quickly transform new data into meaningful, social network-distributable risk communication assets that are readily understood by all networks (Lau & Coiera, 2009). The work done to evaluate the reliability of health-related content on social networks is the main topic of this part. Following that, we go into further depth on several methods for spotting and tracking health-related information.

Another outbreak, led by false news, is simultaneously threatening the COVID-19 eruption. This mostly violates interactions related to public health and causes widespread worry. As a swift response, various studies that look at false news spread on social media in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic have already surfaced on the scientific platform. More behavioral, cultural, and sociolinguistic perspectives are used in other studies to examine the spread of false news.

The comparative investigation of false news across multiple social networks is the basis of certain studies. For instance, (Belizário, 2020) investigates samples of information transmission about COVID-19 from the following social media sites: Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, Reddit, and Gab. The results show that all websites have a different amount of fake news, and Gab is more vulnerable to it. The study found that regardless of the sites, how COVID-19 news is disseminated remains the same. Gab is a well-known social network for far-right political activists and supporters, which is noteworthy (Belizário, 2020). It thus believes that they are more likely than ordinary consumers to be exposed to false news. According to other research, users of social networks spread false news more often than true news. This assertion is supported by evidence of the COVID-19 virus, one of the biggest hazards to the general population, according to Tapia (2020). They believe spreading false news on social networks leads to debatable and inadequate decision-making.

Several studies provide possible answers to this crisis, whereas prior studies focused on the emotional problems of false news in the COVID-10 period. According to Kim and Walker (2020), pharmacists are an essential player in health communication. Therefore, accurate information from pharmacologists and other health professionals should be spread throughout society to reduce the amount of fake information concerning medications. A different piece highlights how the COVID-19 pandemic causes an infodemic with a huge amount of fake information. To fight this meltdown, they propose to train myth busters, those who check the facts and reliable resources regarding COVID-19 (Waran, Jayaseelan, & Brindha, 2020). They also offer to collect and distribute the required accurate information for the wide audience, students, and teachers to identify false news.

Several studies also look at the political and legal connections between false information. COVID-19 hampers the public health response in Latin America as a cause of infodemia, which produces a lot of false news on social media (Zhang et al., 2020). They monitor the situation in Peru with false news while they do their research. According to their monitoring, the nation's

strict laws (i.e., incarceration) against the distribution and publication of false news make it more successful in the struggle. While Dominican Republic struggles with an ineffective management system, Peru sees increased success due to its strong government measures to address the problem of false news (Tapia, 2020).

In a different research, Ricard and Medeiros (2020) examine the issue of false news from the viewpoint of criminology. It provides certain preventive measures, including using counter-narrative and artificial intelligence as countermeasures, to lessen the distribution and growth of fake information. Although these studies do not specifically address fake news on social networks, their conclusions may apply to police such content.

According to prior research, false news was more prevalent than news from 19 reliable sources overall throughout the 2016 presidential election process in the United States (Ouedraogo, 2020). The vulnerability to excessive quantities of information may lead to media tiredness and undermine the healthy activities required to safeguard individuals, turning this into a public health concern. Additionally, false information and rumors about COVID-19 hide appropriate behavioral responses (such as hand washing, social withdrawal, and so on) and promote fake behaviors that promote the viral infection's spread and ultimately have an unfavorable impact on society's physical and mental health. For instance, it was reported that a father of three in India committed himself after learning that he had COVID-19 (Raj & Goswami, 2020).

More evidence of the risks associated with an inappropriate medical message can be found in Nigeria, where health officials found numerous instances of overdosing on chloroquine (a medication used to treat the malaria parasite) after media reports about the effectiveness of the medication for the treatment of COVID-19 surfaced (Allam, 2020). Another rumor of widespread isolation in the US led to irrational food and office supplies purchases. This disrupted delivery people and aggravated the supply-demand mismatch, causing food shortages for those in low-income brackets and other vulnerable groups (Field, Field, 2020). Similar manifestations have been seen across the health, and they might have long-term effects on the population's health, nutrition, and physical and emotional well-being of those impacted.

The public and medical professionals who battle a disease that has received very little research are misinformed about the diagnosis and treatment of Coronavirus. Additionally, this diminishes the reliability of recent scientific studies investigating a cure or vaccination for this treatment. These myths and rumors also contribute to the social stigmatization of this contagious illness, which has decreased the use of social exclusion and home isolation. Even when their doctor advised them to quarantine at home, incidents where hundreds of people contracted an infection from a single person who frequented the same church or mosque were documented in many nations (Hudson, 2020). Such problems encourage ineffective control of the COVID-19 pandemic among distinct population groupings.

Theoretical Approach

The main focus of this research area will be the concept of framing theory. Since the idea of framing as a hypothesis was originally put forward in 1972, Gregory Bateson is given credit for

it. The phrase "framing theory" refers to a technique used by the mainstream media to provide opposing viewpoints on a mass that is the subject of a news story.

Second-level The phrase "agenda setting" is sometimes used to describe the concept. This is largely attributable to the fact that agenda-setting and framing theories have a close concept. The word "framing" describes how the media organize and display information to the public on a mass of problems, as well as the occasion and giving of particular context to them to sway meaning and compel selective effect over how people see reality. The phrase "framing theory" refers to a philosophical postulation that explains how a media owner often creates and contextualizes the content of reports (McQuail, 2005). Oommen (2005) asserts that an intellectual framework controls how events are presented. The media give an important topic a lot of emphases when framing. By framing, the media makes an issue more significant, draws the audience's attention to certain issues, concepts, and characters, and minimizes what is spoken outside the framework (Boykoff & Laschever, 2011). Stephens (2015) pointed out that when it comes to the framing's tone, the bulk of coverage in the mainstream media tends to be more negative than upbeat.

The media has discussed the COVID-19 outbreak's consequences about the effects that would come from giving the illness's ability to spread across the continent and to other nations of the globe more attention. In this specific fact, there is generally a relationship between the research and the framing concept since the media frames reports.

Research Objectives

This research paper's major objective is to identify the ecology of misinformation on social media and youth as determinants of spreading fake news in Delhi. This research aims to determine the mental processes of youth who spread false misinformation and the broader public's reactions to such fake news. In addition, the general public's responses will be examined to see how much they trust and spread fake news. The COVID-19 pandemic is a global concern that will continue to impact the rest of the globe for some time. People are frightened of this possibly deadly disease. Social media is the most powerful platform for conveying misleading misinformation to those lacking professional skills. The objectives of this research paper is:

➢ To find the purpose behind the spread of fake news about COVID-19 pandemic on social media platforms by the youth in Delhi.
➢ To determine which social media platform the youth of Delhi use most often to spread fake news.

As of 11 September 2022, there were 609 million confirmed coronaviruses (COVID-19) cases worldwide. The United States has confirmed almost 16 percent of all reported cases globally, making it one of the nations most severely afflicted by the disease. In the middle of this epidemic, social media complaints of misleading misinformation spreading have become a significant reason for concern. Every country is confronted with severe issues about COVID-19, including fake remedies, propaganda against the government, and misconceptions regarding the severity of this fatal virus attack.

Research Questions

R1. What is the purpose of the spread of fake news posted on social media platforms by the youth in Delhi?
R2. Which is/are the most used Social media platform/platforms by the youth of Delhi to share fake news?

Research Methodology

The research design was coordinated with content analysis from social media users to examine Delhi residents' (particularly youth) misconceptions and attitudes about fake news. Exploratory research was chosen as the methodology for this analysis due to the peculiar nature of the problem. The research is based on secondary data collected from social media posts. The research began by monitoring and searching social media for previously existing fake news samples. Searching for and cataloging fake news articles was the key focus in the Delhi region. Two hundred thirty-one social media platforms were mostly centered on misleading news. The posts were collected over three months utilizing information from hundreds of accounts. The posts were collected within a week and modified while conducting searches. Some posts yielded fewer search results, but others generated a greater number of results.

The collected data was divided into several categories: hospital treatments, social media medication recommendations, COVID therapies, and COVID-19 treatments. Following an in-depth analysis, 100 fake news stories were detected and selected for consideration. Two criteria given by the research question were used to conduct the first screening. These qualifications were as follows:

a) Fake news connected to COVID-19 and
b) Fake news that includes concealed propaganda.

After the data was collected, it was found that fake news spreads much more on Facebook, WhatsApp, Twitter, and YouTube in Delhi than on any other social media platform.

The evaluation of the data is made up of two steps. First, the content that had been gathered was looked at in terms of the topics, types of content, and goals of fake news. Two, the sources were probably found by reading the news and paying attention to the details of the events described in the content.

Results and discussion

In the present section, the outcomes identified by the content analysis will be given:

Table 1: Total Numbers of Fake News Spread on Social Media by Delhi Youth

YouTube	16	16%
Facebook	45	45%
Twitter	15	15%
WhatsApp	24	24%
Total	100	100%

This table provides a summary of the number of false news stories gathered from four separate social media platforms. One hundred samples of fake news were collected for this research. It has been shown that a total of 45 instances of fake news were collected from Facebook, 24 from WhatsApp, 16 from YouTube, and 15 from Twitter.

Table 2: Categories of Fake News Spread on Social Media by Delhi Youth

Covid Treatment	24	24%
Hospital	35	35%
Remedies	33	33%
General Treatment	8	8%
Total	100	100%

Table 2 demonstrates the various types of fake news spread by Delhi's youth on social media platforms. 24% of people believe the COVID-19 treatment-related false information. 35% of people believe in fake news about hospitals. Moreover, remedies are collected at a rate of 33%, and general alignment treatments get 8% of the total.

Table 3: Types of Contents of Fake News Spread on Social Media by Delhi Youth

Video	14	14%
Audio	15	15%
Text	48	48%
Photos	23	23%
Total	100	100%

Table 3 shows the different kinds of false news stories spread on social media by Delhi youth. 48 percent of the posts were published in text, 14 percent were videos, 23 percent were photos, and 15 percent were audio recordings.

Table 4: Source of Fake News Spread on Social Media

User's accounts on social media	58	58%
Fake government account	17	17%
Forward messages from unknown	25	25%
Total	100	100%

Table 4 shows the different accounts of sources that make up fake news. It has been found that general user accounts are responsible for spreading 57.69 percent of the fake news. With 15.38 percent, a lot of fake news is spread through fake accounts that use the names of real news organizations. Ultimately, 26.93% of all fake news comes from forwarded messages from unknown people.

Table 5: Agenda of Fake News Spread on Social Media by the youth in Delhi

Propaganda Against Government	23	58%
Buy Certain Medicines	35	17%
Create Hype	24	24%
Propaganda Against Doctors / Hospitals	18	25%
Total	100	100%

Table 5 shows that spreading fake news on social media was part of a plan devised by youth in Delhi.

People in Delhi are more likely to be hyped after reading fake news on social media, with 24%. Second, buy specific medicines from frames of fake news that have been verified true 35% of the time. Third, fake news was used to spread propaganda against the government, with 23%, and finally, propaganda against doctors and hospitals, with 18%.

Discussion

The main goal of this study was to identify the ecology of misinformation on social media and youth as determinants of the spread of fake news in Delhi during Covid-19. On social media, the fake news about COVID-19 first focuses on four main points: Treatment for COVID-19, including hospitals, treatments, and cures, as well as treatment for Fake news in general:

A. Covid-19 Treatment

Regarding the COVID-19 treatment, several instances of fake news have been recorded. Many people are publicizing their prescriptions and recommending medications on social media. Additionally, several injections are recommended on Facebook. All of these medications are administered without the involvement of competent medical personnel. The government of Delhi City has issued an update noting that many people are putting their lives in danger by following fake prescriptions. This is the world's most pressing issue, and it affects a great number of people. A significant proportion of fake news about COVID-19 treatment medications was recorded.

B. Remedies

The social media platforms in Delhi are home to many hoaxes that pose as treatments. A broad range of natural therapies is available for treating Coronavirus.

In addition, garlic and ginger, which may function as vaccination and remove the virus if it enters the body, are advised as misleading misinformation.

C. Hospital and Medical Professionals

Facebook is where fake news is spread and has the highest rating of all social media platforms. Many people are killing themselves because they believe this fake news. This news has also given the government in Delhi a significant problem to deal with in terms of the pandemic. The fake news about how people are treated in hospitals is important and sensitive. It gave the wrong impression that medical hospitals were not treating COVID-19 patients. They are also said to have said that doctors inject poison into patients so that the patients die. Due to this news, the country is in a state of panic and fear. Everyone believes in fake news, and they keep talking about it. People also say that the government aims to get money from foreign organizations by

raising the death rate. They believe that this plan is being done. Because of this, many people don't go to the hospital, which could worsen their illness because they have to wait longer to get treatment. Second, fake news is widely shared on all social media platforms, saying that hospitals in Delhi make fake COVID-19 death certificates. So-called "fake news" has said that doctors give out corona death certificates to everyone, even if someone died because of someone else.

D. General Sickness Treatment

Significant amounts of fake news are posted and disseminated with a comprehensive list of medications for fake alternative remedies. People are receiving messages even from recognized people. People in this article do not visit hospitals or use specific medications for various diseases, including sore throats, coughs, and fevers. There are a significant number of antibiotic alternatives on such lists. The physicians observed that a significant proportion of people suffer from serious illnesses. People are taking these medications without first consulting a medical practitioner. Consequently, these people are enduring serious negative effects on their lungs, livers, hearts, and brains.

Using obtained secondary based on the present research work, these two key factors are identified :-

1) Firstly, the reason behind the spread of fake news is to cause widespread fear and hysteria among the general public which ultimately causes illness, the goal of fake news is to make people afraid.

2) Second, fake news is built on propaganda that tells people to buy certain medicines recommended in fake prescriptions. After this fake news spread, the prices of both recommended and herbal medicines increased quickly. People bought these expensive medicines because they didn't know that doing so could put their lives in danger.

Conclusion

Finding relevant information is made possible by locating the source of fake news on social media platforms. Various online mass media outlets create the great bulk of fake news reports. Additionally, the usage of four powerful social media platforms-Facebook, WhatsApp, Twitter, and YouTube-by the youth of Delhi has greatly facilitated the production of fake news and its subsequent spread. This finding highlights the potential importance of online media in producing fake news and the need for online media protection to prevent the spread of fake COVID-19 reports. The youth of the society being the most vulnerable section makes it even easier for the fake news producers to create mass hysteria and discomfort amongst the mankind.

The undesirable character of the false reports associated with COVID-19 raises concerns that they may harm the medical care system and public health discourse. Unfavorable fake media reports disseminate incorrect information and facts about medicines, healthcare options, and death-related details, misleading people and the community in the process and creating subsequent uncertainty.

Even though it includes various original and never-before-published findings, this study has several restrictions. India's capital city of Delhi is where the information originated. Fake news

incidents and the region is home to various unusual plants and animals. More studies may be done in the future study to understand this phenomenon further.

References

Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31*(2), 211-236. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1257/jep.31.2.211.

Bernstein, M. S., Monroy-Hernandez, A., Harry, D., Andr P., Panovich, K., & Vargas, G. G. (2011). An Analysis of Anonymity and Ephemerality in a Large Online Community. *ICWSM*, 50–57. http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/id/eprint/272345.

Chen, X. I., Sun, M. X., Wu, D., & Song, X. Y. (2019). Information-sharing behaviour on WeChat Moments: The role of anonymity, familiarity, and intrinsic motivation. *Frontier in Psychology, 10*, 2540. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02540.

Chukwuere, J. E., & Chukwuere, P. C. (2017). The Impact of Social Media on Social Lifestyle: A Case Study of University Female Students. *Gender Behaviour, 15*(4), 9966–9981. https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P4-2112125667/the-impact-of-social-media-on-soci al-lifestyle-a.

David, T. O. (2017). Undergraduates' Information Literacy Skills and The Use of Electronic Resources in Delta State University, Abraka, Delhi. *International Journal of Education and Evaluation, 3*(1), 27-36.

Flintham, M., Karner, C., Bachour, K., Creswick, H., Gupta, N., & Moran, S. (2018). Falling for Fake News: Investigating the Consumption of News Via Social Media. Presented at CHI 2018, April 21-26, 2018, Montreal, QC, Canada, 379-389. https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173950.

Greg H. E., Chika E. A., & Edogor, I. O. (2013). Social Media use Among Students of Universities in South-East Delhi. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 16*(3), 23-32. https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-1632332.

Hashim, K., & Kutbi, I. (2015). Percpetions of Social Media Impact on Students' Social Behavior: A Comparison between Arts and Science Students. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies, 6*(4), 147-165. https://doi.org/10.29 333/ojcmt/2574.

Hatlevik, O. E. (2016). Examining the Relationship Between Teachers' Self-Efficacy, Their Digital Competence, Strategies to Evaluate Information, and Use of ICT at School. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 61*(5), 555-567.

Haynes, S. (2001). *Handbook of Psychological Assessment* (3rd ed.). Pergamon Press.

Howell, L. (2013). Global Risks 2013-Eight Edition. In Internet] World Economic Forum, Cologny/ Geneva, Switzerland, 15-94.

Hussain, M., Loan, F. A., & Yaseen, G. (2017). The Use of Social Networking Sites (SNS) by the Postgraduate Students. *International Journal of Digital Library Services, 7*(1), 72-84. http://www.ijodls.in/uploads/3/6/0/3/3603729/7ijodls7117.pdf.

Jain, D. (2017). Information Sharing on Social Networking Sites (SNS): An Empirical Study.

Annual Research Journal of Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies, 5(3), 38-60.

Kiernan, R. (2017). *With the Rise of Fake News on Social Media, Can Information Literacy Impact How Students Evaluate Information on Their Social Media Channels?* Master's thesis, Dublin Business School. https://esource.dbs.ie/handle/ 10788/3319.

Kim, K. S., Yoo-Lee, E., & Joanna Sin, S. C. (2011). Social media as information source: Undergraduates' use and evaluation behavior. *The American Society for Information Science and Technology*, *48*(1), 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.2011. 14504801283

Kim, K., & Sin, S. J. (2015). Use of Social Media in Different Contexts of Information Seeking: Effects of Sex and Problem-Solving Style. *Information Research: An International Electronic Journal, 20*(1), n1.

Kim, K., & Sin, S. J. (2016). Use and Evaluation of Information from Social Media in the Academic Context: Analysis of Gap Between Students and Librarians. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 42*(1), 74-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib. 2015.11.001.

Kim, K., Sin, S. J., & Yoo-Lee, E. Y. (2014). Undergraduates' Use of Social Media as Information Sources. *College & Research Libraries*, 442-457. https://sites.duke. edu/lamptwp/files/2014/09/Undergraduates-Use-of-Social-Media.pdf.

Koohikamali, M., & Sidorova, A. (2017). Information Re-Sharing on Social Networking Sites in the Age of Fake News. *Informing Science: the International Journal of Emerging Transdiscipline 20*, 215-235. http://www.informingscience. org/Publications/3871.

Lee, C. S., & Ma, L. (2012). News Sharing in Social Media: The Effect of Gratifications and Prior Experience. *Computers in Human Behavior, 28*(2), 331–339. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chb.2011.10.002.

Leeder, C. (2019). How College Students Evaluate and Share "Fake News" Stories. *Library and Information Science Research, 41*(3), 100967. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.lisr.2019. 100967.

McGrew, S., Breakstone, J., Ortega, T., Smith, M., & Wineburg, S. (2018). Can Students Evaluate Online Sources? Learning from Assessments of Civic Online Reasoning. *Theory & Research in Social Education, 46*(2), 165-193. https://doi. org/10.1080/ 00933104.2017.1416320.

Moravec, P. L., Minas, R. K., & Dennis, A. R. (2019). Fake News on Social Media: People Believe What they Want to Believe When It Makes No Sense at All. *MIS Quarterly, 43*(4), 1343-1360. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2019/15505.

Ocansey, S. K., Ametepe, W., & Oduro, C. F. (2016). The Impact of Social Media on the Youth: The Ghanaian Perspective. *International Journal of Engineering Technology and Sciences (IJETS), 6*(1), 87-97.

Okoh, M. I., & Lucky, A. O. (2014). Use of Social Media for Information Sharing Among Students of Federal University of Petroleum Resources Effurun. *Journal of Applied Information Science and Technology, 7*(2), 39-45.

Osatuyi, B. (2013). Information Sharing on Social Media Sites. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *29*(6), 2622-2631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.07.001

Rafique, G. M. (2017). Personal Information Sharing Behaviour of University Students Via Online Social Networks. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, 1454.

Salehan, M., & Kim, D. J. (2012). The Effect of Attitude, Social Trust and Trust in Social Networking Sites on Two Dimensions of Sharing Behaviour. *18th Americas Conference on Information Systems*, Seattle, WA, United States. https://aisel. aisnet.org/amcis 2012/proceedings/SocialIssues/11.

Salehan, M., Kashipaz, S. M. M., & Xu, C. (2013, August 15-17). Information Sharing on Social Networking Websites: Antecedents and Consequences of Trust. *19th Americas Conference on Information Systems*, Chicago, Illinois.

Shao, C., Ciampaglia, G. L., Varol, O., Flammini, A., & Menczer, F. (2017). The Spread of Fake News by Special Bots.

Soto, A. (2020, 21 March). Delhi Has Chloroquine Poisonings After Trump Praised Drug. *Bloomberg*. https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-21/Delhi-reports-chloroquine-poisoning s-after-trump-praised-drug.

Waszak, P. M., Kasprzycka-Waszak, W., & Kubanek, A. (2018). The Spread of Medical Fake News in Social Media-The Pilot Quantitative Study. *Health Policy and Technology, 7*(2), 115-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2018.03.002.

Zhang, K., & Kizilcec, R. F. (2014). Anonymity in Social Media: Effects of Content Controversialists and Social Endorsement on Sharing Behavior. *8th International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*.